

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

لسهر

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,395	12/21/2001	Mathew Sommers	GLO 2 0081	2949
27885 75	590 05/05/2004		EXAM	INER
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			ZEADE, BERTRAND	
1100 SUPERIO CLEVELAND	OR AVENUE, SEVENTH	FLOOR	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CED VEERING,	, 011 47111		2875	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	····	
	09/683,395	SOMMERS ET AL.	SOMMERS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	pul	
	Bertrand Zeade	2875	•	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period f r Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th th correspondenc addr	9SS	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commandered timely. ANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	: nunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>07 July 2003</u> .			
	This action is non-final.		•	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up			nerits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,10,11,17 and 19 is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐			,	
Applicant may not request that any objection			4.4047.10	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in Ape priority documents have been  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
<ul> <li>2) A Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	

Application/Control Number: 09/683,395

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-6,8,10-11,17,19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,3-6,8,10,11,17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson, II (U.S.5580163) in view of P.M. Larraburu (U.S.3302016).

Johnson ('163) discloses a focusing light source with flexible mount for multiple light emitting elements having:

Regarding claim 1 as shown in (figs. 2-4), an LED module including a plurality of LEDs (34-4Q) arranged in a first pattern on a substrate, a zoom apparatus or focus adjustment (24,26,42) that selectively adjusts the relative axial separation of the optical system and the LED module (34), the zoom apparatus (24/26) including: an inner sleeve on which the LED module (34) is disposed, and an outer sleeve or outside housing (18) on which the zoom apparatus (24,26,42) is disposed the inner and outer sleeves being slidably

Application/Control Number: 09/683,395

Art Unit: 2875

interconnected with the inner sleeve disposed inside the outer sleeve, the outer sleeve (18) defining fixed outside dimensions of the zoom apparatus (24,26,42). an optical system including a plurality of optical in communication with the module (col.1, lines 21-40).

Regarding claim 5 as shown in (figs. 2-4), an LED module (34-34Q) including at least one LED (34) arranged on a substrate an optical system, and a zoom apparatus that selectively adjusts the relative axial separation of the optical system and the LED module (34) the zoom apparatus (24,26,42) including a first sleeve (10) having the LED module arranged thereon, the first sleeve further having a first threading arranged thereon, and a second sleeve (18) having a second threading arranged thereon that is adapted to cooperate with the first threading such that the first sleeve (10) and the second sleeve (18) are relatively movable in a screwing fashion, the second sleeve (18) further having the optical system arranged thereon.

Regarding claim 6, an index system that relatively biases the first sleeve (10) and the second sleeve (18) into one or more selectable relative axial positions.

Regarding claim 8, the zoom apparatus (24,26,42) further comprises: a mechanical interlock between inner and outer sleeves (10,18) that prevents relative rotation therebetween.

Regarding claim 10, a stop that relatively biases the inner and outer sleeves (10,18) into one or more selectable relative axial stop positions.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,395

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 11, the LED module (34) further comprises a heat sink well known to those skilled in the art of LEDs heat dissipation thermally connected with the substrate for cooling the LED module.

Regarding claim 17, a plurality of light sources (34), and a zoom apparatus (24,26,42) that selectively adjusts a relative axial separation of the optical system and the light sources (34), the zoom apparatus (24,26,42) including two threadediy interconnected sleeves (10,18) the first sleeve having the light sources (34) arranged thereon and the second sleeve having the optical system arranged thereon (col. 1, lines 20-39).

Regarding claim 19, the LED module (34) is rigidly mounted on the first sleeve (10) and the optical system is rigidly mounted on the second sleeve (col. 1, lines 20-39).

Johnson ('163) does not disclose a plurality of lenses.

However, P.M. Larraburu ('016) discloses an optical collimating system having a plurality of lenses (12,13,14,15,16).

Regarding claim 3, plurality of lenses (12,13,14,15,16) comprises a plurality of Fresnel lens arranged in a second pattern that corresponds with the first pattern.

Regarding claim 4 each of a plurality of lenses (12,13,14,15,16) is axially aligned with an LED and optically communicates with the LED.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to utilize the focusing light source with flexible mount for multiple light emitting elements of Johnson II ('163) with the plurality of lenses

Art Unit: 2875

taught by Larraburu ('016), since the lenses of Larraburu ('016) would provide the better focusing or zooming effect, and also as the lenses provide the desired uniform cross-section collimated beam so as to transfer the uniformity of illumination existing at the field of operation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 9 is allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither teach nor disclose including a protrusion on one of the first and the second sleeves, the protrusion being aligned parallel to the optical a groove on one of the first and the second sleeves that receives the protrusion to prevent relative rotation of the first and the second.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bertrand Zeade whose telephone number is 571-272-2387. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bertrand Zeade Examiner Art Unit 2875

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner